amendments that will include phased in material bans;

AND THAT Council direct staff to bring back proposals as part of the 2017 budget preparation showing the costs and options for implementing and enforcing such a bylaw.

**CARRIED** 

## (ii) Corporate Carbon Neutrality

R. MacLeod, Director of Engineering and B. Hurford, Sustainability Coordinator were in attendance to discuss options for 2016 Corporate Carbon Neutrality. Discussion included:

- Climate Action Charter commitments and background
- It is not possible to purchase local offsets none are available at this time
- \$25,000 in Carbon neutral reserve

#### Committee questions and comments:

- Clarification requested regarding possible local carbon offsets
- Request for a list of potential local offsets
- Keep funding in reserve until such time that we can contribute to local offsets.

It was moved by Councillor Elliott,

seconded by Mayor Heintzman,

RECOMMENDATION: THAT staff use available funding for

carbon offsets to ensure 2015 is considered a carbon neutral year (not as outlined by the climate change reporting year) in the District of Squamish, as well as developing local carbon offset projects for 2016.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackman-Wulff arrived at 2:46 pm

The meeting recessed at 2:47 pm and resumed at 2:51 pm will all of Council in attendance and staff as before the break.

### (iii) Squamish Estuary Management Plan (SEMP) and Neighbourhood Plan Discussion

- J. Velaniskis, Director of Development Services, Robert Barrs and Sarah Wilmot from Modus Consulting discussed the Squamish Estuary Management Plan (SEMP) as well as the Downtown Neighbourhood Plan. Discussion included:
  - Review of SEMP document including comparison of maps to the Official Community Plan (OCP)
  - Workshop was held with the Squamish Estuary Management Committee
  - Squamish Estuary Management Plan details:
    - Specific projects
    - o Project review process
    - Land-use designations
  - Potential for content from the SEMP to be integrated into the OCP
  - Inconsistencies between the SEMP and OCP land-use designation maps
  - Conservation areas, Industrial/Commercial areas, Planning Assessment area and Transportation Corridors were discussed
  - Items that are suitable for integration to OCP and content not suitable for integration includes jurisdictional and funding issues

- Upcoming workshop with Squamish Estuary Management Committee (SEMC) on June 16<sup>th</sup>
- Reorganized SEMC is needed.

# <u>Downtown Neighbourhood Plan (DNP)</u>

- Integration of DNP and UMBC land use policy into the OCP
- Simplify the land use plan and investigate downtown zoning
- Proposed land use plan for downtown was discussed including gateway, commercial center, Mamquam Blind Channel, downtown south, downtown residential, and conservation area
- Corresponding permitted uses as well as form and density
- Conservation area needs further consideration.

# Comments and questions from the Committee:

- Is the SEMP legally binding?
  - o No
- OCP and SEMP amendments needed to align with land use designations
- DP Area guidelines and SEMP guidelines amalgamation
- Wildlife Management Area legislation needs to be considered as well
- Suggestion to redo SEMP
- Who is currently attending SEMC meetings?
  - Squamish Nation, Local Conservation, Biologist, Industry representative, Recreation representative, Forestry and Squamish Terminals representatives
- Does SEMP land designations include employment land strategy considerations?
  - o No
- Suggestion that estuary issues need to be integrated into District policies
- Encouraging participants to attend SEMC meetings agencies should be contacted regarding further involvement on the committee
- C4 zoning downtown versus creative mixed use
- Reconsider putting the old landfill into green space designation
- Consider a Third Ave. commercial zone; potential for a non-conforming area
- Low density residential category clarification high density needed downtown, suggestion to strike low density category; set a floor area ratio; and suggestion for a transition zone
- Remove industrial zoning from downtown
- Protecting family oriented zoning downtown (3 bdrm units)
- Item 1.36 in Modus recommendations consider rewording to bicycle 'infrastructure'
- How to add active transportation and accessibility into OCP?
  - o DP Area guidelines
- Section 1.8 is unrealistic, consider removing
- Integrating larger commercial spaces to retrofit surface parking lots to incorporate bicycle uses.